

MINUTES OF SCHOOLS FORUM HELD ON 26 NOVEMBER 2015

Present

Bill I Phil Colii Chri San Jo H Pete Mari Yvei Alar Geo Phil Kay Geo Mari	ool Forum Members Dowell (Chair) Adams – Academy Headteacher n Case – Primary Governor stine Harding – Early Years and Childcare stine Hargest – Association of Secretaries dra Holloway – Primary Governor lumphreys – Primary Governor er Ingham – Secondary Governor tin Jones – Primary Governor the McDaniel – Primary Headteacher Parkhurst – Primary Headteacher ff Pettengell – Academy Headteacher Poulton – Secondary Headteacher Poulton – Secondary Headteacher (left at 9.45) Redknap – TMBSS ff Renwick – Academy Headteacher k Rogers – Primary Headteacher p Sell - Diocese	Members Officers Rob Carlyle Gwyneth Evans Gareth Proffitt Neville Ward Stephen Waters Phil Wilson Helen Woodbridge (Minutes) Observers/Visitors Hannah Fraser	
			ΑΟΤΙΟ
1.	Apologies Apologies had been received from Austin Atkins Mark Blackstock, Karen Bradshaw, Richard Bra Pete Johnstone, Sally Lill, Ann Hartley and Joy Subsequent apologies were received from Ruth	y, David Chantrey, John Hitchings, Tetsill.	
2.	Minutes and Matters Arising It was confirmed that Alan Parkhurst had been i Phil Wilson advised of the need for a replaceme		PW
3.	De-delegation 2016-17 Gwyneth Evans took Schools Forum members this item was relevant only to maintained school maintained primary and secondary schools (in s	s so voting was restricted to	
	Contingency Gwyneth Evans advised that contingency is cur a fair amount of mobility. Vote on Contingency The seven primary maintained representatives of delegated in 2016/17.		

ON

	Maternity and Insurance Mark Rogers pointed out that other LAs don't seem to do this. However he and other members of Schools Forum agreed that Shropshire's system works well. Phillip Sell added that some LAs buy insurance. Vote on Maternity and Insurance	
	The seven primary maintained and the two secondary maintained school representatives voted that this should be de-delegated in 2016/17.	
	Trades Union Duties There was significant discussion about how Trades Union/area representative duties are funded and whether maintained schools are subsidising academies. The chair clarified that facility time is for representatives to work with schools/governing bodies.	
	Phil Adams added that these funds cover release time for representatives from schools.	
	Mark Rogers stated that the corporate work eg on pay policy saves time for schools. Phil Wilson reminded colleagues of HR/Unions negotiations.	PW
	It was agreed that Phil Wilson/Christine Hargest should meet with Lorraine Edwards from HR to discuss. They will need to clarify what the budget is covering and how the funding has been	PVV
	used in the past. Peter Ingham suggested that he would be happy to vote if this investigation is going	
	to be carried out. It was agreed that detailed analysis of the use of this budget would be undertaken and reported back to Schools Forum.	
	Vote on Trades Union Duties Six primary maintained representatives voted for de-delegation, one primary representative abstained. Two secondary maintained representatives voted for de-delegation.	
	Vote on Administration of Free School Meal Eligibility Seven primary maintained representatives and two secondary maintained representatives voted that this should not be de-delegated.	
	Vote on Public Duties Six primary maintained representatives and two secondary maintained representatives voted that this should not be de-delegated. (One primary maintained representative voted for de-delegation.)	
	Vote on Library and Museum Services Seven primary maintained representatives voted that this should not be de- delegated.	
4.	School Funding – Local Funding Formula Gwyneth Evans went through the paper. Geoff Pettengell was concerned that the difference between the academic year and financial year would mean a loss of funding. Gwyneth Evans was able to clarify that the funding would follow the academy year. Colin Case suggested that as the first year would be covered by the new legislation and the protection of the lump sums, could Schools Forum vote next year for 2017- 18 onwards?	
	Geoff Pettengell spoke of the need for financial forecasting to be informed. Geoff Renwick asked if there could be a tapering measure? Gwyneth Evans advised that the proposal had been discussed with the accountant	

Mar Geo a ne Phil	The Shrewsbury Academies Trust. tin Jones spoke of the need for flexibility as it will depend on the site. If Pettengell suggested that it is likely that there will be more cases and therefore eed for a robust system. Adams supported the use of split site funding as it complies with the thrust of ools Forum re sustainability.	
Sch ope	e on use of a split site factor ools Forum voted in favour of using the split site factor to fund additional costs of rating on two sites up to a maximum value of the loss in lump sum funding of two ools amalgamating. There was one abstention.	
Sch	e on MFG disapplication ools Forum unanimously voted in favour of applying to disapply the MFG for 6-17 for one small school with a significant increase in number on roll.	
	vision of Free Early Years Entitlement	
The How Chri qua The they this Whe	 ille Ward went through his paper. re is a strong push to pay PVI providers a higher rate as they employ teachers. vever this is causing financial strain. stine Harding added that the majority of quality providers have already put lified teachers in place and this is not proving financially sustainable. Local Authority has less information on the quality of individual EY settings as are providing less support and guidance than previously. There is concern re as some settings have not been inspected for five years. en schools adopt pre-schools, they get the support of the school although funded 	
	arately. are relaxing the requirement for schools who have adopted to have a qualified	
teac Mai Nev A fle Chr issu The	ther leading provision. Intained nurseries have to have a qualified teacher. Ille Ward agreed to check the regulations as there is confusion. Exible sort of MFG formula is used to support unsustainable settings. Istine Harding suspects that high number of providers are facing sustainability es and some won't be able to offer 30 hours. In the funding doesn't increase there will	NW
The Con of n It is Rev	ssues. re will be a positive impact if funding increases and is ring fenced. nprehensive spending review outcome - some capital funding to support creation ew places. proposed to introduce a National Early Years Funding Formula in 2017/18. ised funding rates - 8 hours changed to 16 hours and n/a to earners £100,000+ hard to establish requirement for places.	
lowe Nev	tte McDaniel asked if there are many places not filled? Her current setting has est numbers for some time. ille Ward confirmed that there is capacity but some are providing for 0-11. tin Jones spoke of the need to get more 2 year olds in.	
It wa bloc set	as confimed that Early Years funding is part of DSG but not ring-fenced. EY k is now based on participation. Neville Ward stressed that it is more difficult to the EY budget because take up is not known. He added that there is some other ling available to support interventions.	
Phil scho The	Wilson advised that from 2017/18 there will be a National Funding Formula for bols, high needs and early years. chair asked if there is a forum meeting for early years?	
	ille Ward confirmed that they will be meeting next week for an information nange.	

5.

	The chair asked Neville Ward to report the interest of Schools Forum. It was agreed that an Early Years Funding Task and Finish Group is to be set up later next year. Volunteers included Christine Harding, Yvette McDaniel and Jo Humphreys. Additional PVI representatives will be sought.	NW		
6.	 Dedicated Schools Grant Monitoring Stephen Waters went through the paper and it was clarified that it applies to maintained and academy schools. Alan Parkhurst spoke of need for high needs specialists and asked if any underspend could be used for this. The chair suggested the need for a task and finish group to consider this. Phil Wilson agreed as there are also considerations to be made following yesterday's comprehensive spending review. It was agreed that a task and finish group should meet sooner rather than later – possibly in early January to consider high needs issue and to confirm the timetable for work. Membership would include Jo Humphreys, John Hitchings, Mark Rogers, Phil Poulton, Pete Johnstone, Kay Redknap, Alan Parkhurst with the possible addition of a school business manager? 	PW		
	Vote on Central Provision within the Schools Budgets for 2016-17 Schools Forum unanimously approved the central provision within schools budgets 2016-17 as set out in the appendix.			
7.	Communications			
	The Comprehensive Spending Review themes and a DfE press release were circulated. Some clarification will be needed. The consultation process re the move to a National Fair Funding Formula (NFFF) will need to be responded to by Schools Forum when it comes through in the New Year. The intention is to make LAs running schools a thing of the past. Sixth form colleges will be able to become academies. FE colleges will be protected. It was suggested that the NFFF should work to Shropshire's advantage but its effect may be tapered.			
	The chair advised that the F40 group work continue to work tirelessly. The task and			
	finish group can support them by responding.			
8.	Next meeting			
	The next meeting will be held on Thursday 21 January 2015			
The	The meeting closed at 10.28 am			

Future meetings: 17 March 2016, 9 June 2016